UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,820	04/06/2006	Atsushi Fujioka	2006_0514A	3647
52349 7590 10/28/2008 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P. 2033 K. STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			RAMPURIA, SHARAD K	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/574,820	FUJIOKA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SHARAD RAMPURIA	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 c      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .      Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 31-59 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) 31-53 and 57 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 54-56,58 and 59 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the **first** paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 59 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 59, the amended limitation, "A computer readable medium." The applicant's specification fails to support such limitation.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

#### 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 59 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101, because of non-statutory as describe following: Regarding claim 59, it is clearly calls for "a program" comprising "code means"...... As best can be support by the specification (¶ 0026), "a software program" is actually "a software/computer program" which does not fall within any of the enumerated statutory categories because it is an Abstract Idea, and the invention as claimed does not produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. Therefore, claim 59 is nonstatutory. (Please see MPEP 2106.01 [R-6]).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 54-56 & 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by **Aoki**, Yutaka et al. [US 20010044315 A1].

As per claim 54, **Aoki** teaches:

A high-speed moving object that moves along a predetermined path of movement, (Abstract) said high-speed moving object comprising:

at least one image capturing unit operable to capture image data of the inside of a car in said high-speed moving object; a first communication unit operable to transmit the image data captured by said image capturing unit over radio waves of a first frequency, when control data

indicating a transmission timing is received from any one of a plurality of base stations installed along the predetermined path of movement of said high-speed moving object over radio waves of the first frequency; and a second communication unit operable to transmit the image data captured by said image capturing unit over radio waves of a second frequency, when control data indicating a transmission timing is received from any one of the plurality of base stations over radio waves of the second frequency. (¶ 0045, 0049)

Claims 55-56 are the apparatus claims, corresponding to apparatus claim 54 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 54 respectively, above.

### As per claim 58, **Aoki** teaches:

A construction method of a wireless communication area for constructing the wireless communication area where image data transmitted from a high-speed moving object that moves along a predetermined path of movement can be received, (Abstract) said construction method comprising

alternately arranging, along the predetermined path of movement of the high-speed moving object, a plurality of first wireless communication areas where image data transmitted over radio waves of a first frequency can be received (¶ 0045, 0049) and a plurality of second wireless communication areas where image data transmitted over radio waves of a second frequency can be received, so that the areas are partly overlapped. (¶ 0041)

# Allowable Subject Matter

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 31-53, 57 are patentable.

The entire prior-art combination **disclosed** (in previous office-action of this case) fails to anticipate or render the claimed limitations in combination with all the recited limitations of the disclosed independent claims obvious (**viewed the entire claim as a whole**), over any of the prior art of record, alone or in combination.

Claim 59 is also patentable if 101, 112 concerns are completed.

## Response to Amendments & Remarks

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 54-56 & 58 have been fully considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-7870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. (8:30-5 EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dwayne Bost can be reached on (571) 272-7023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,820 Page 6

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000 or EBC@uspto.gov.

/Sharad Rampuria/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2617